

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,264	11/28/2001	Jacques Prodel	45976/DBP/N75	3744
23363	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			HONG, JOHN C	
PASADENA, CA	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3726	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/997,264	PRODEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John C. Hong	3726				
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication a for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, he period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reposition of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state or reply received by the Office later than three months after the manned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	April 2005.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🗵 Ti	his action is non-final.					
3)							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration	l.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	• •					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been	Application No				
*	application from the International Bure		t received				
-	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies no	. Teograpu.				
Attachme	nt(s)						
_	in(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB1593738 in view of Delannoy (U.S. Patent 4,600,465).

'738 teaches an installation for a circulation
of part-carrying pallets, comprising: a support frame for supporting modules for the circulation
of the part-carrying pallets, in which said support frame comprises; at least one vertical frame
arrangement; and at least two boxes each comprising a plurality of
standard profile members, wherein each box is removably fixed on a respective side of said
vertical frame arrangement, and wherein each box comprises an upper surfaced portion that
receives at least one module that circulates the part-carrying pallets and a lower portion
provided with adjustable feet for adjusting a horizontal positioning of said upper surfaced
portion. (Figs. 19,19a,20 and 32; page 8, lines 105-119)

'738 fails to teach; at least one vertical frame arrangement comprising a plurality of standard profile members rigidly welded together to form a rigid mecano-welded structure; and at least two boxes each comprising a plurality of standard profile members rigidly welded together to form by a mecano-welded structure.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

Delannoy teaches mechano-welded frame work for steady and rigid structure.(col. 2, lines 31-52)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of '738 by adding the features of Delannoy so as to build steady and rigid structure.

It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is <u>intended to be employed does not</u> differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

Regarding Claim 2, the limitation of plurality of standard profile members of the vertical frame arrangement and the plurality of standard profile members of the boxes are **commercially available** profile members of steel of type NIP, wherein NIP stands for Normalized I Profile, is self evident that manufacturing with commercially available material (NIP) is well known method.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/18/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (1) the argument about the intended use (for high speed, high level of vibration), it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2d 1647 (1987).

(2) '738 teaches "at least one vertical frame arrangement" and "at least two boxex) in Fig. 19

Application/Control Number: 09/997,264

Art Unit: 3726

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John C. Hong whose telephone number is 571-272-4529. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(07:00-16:30)First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on 571-272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John C. Hong Primary Examiner

jh July 10, 2005